

CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING/ANODIZING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INST	· · · —	· / <u>—</u>	
AIRS ID#: 1030333 DATE: <u>10/21/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:15</u>	DEPART: <u>11:17</u>	
FACILITY NAME: M&P PLATING INC			
FACILITY LOCATION: 700 37TH	I ST S		
ST PETERSBURG 33711-2119			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN KUTCH Email: jkutch2@yahoo.com CONTACT NAME: PETER VALANTIEJUS Email: nichroplat@aol.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: / (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (727)327-5118 PHONE: (727)327-5118 Mobile: (727)341-1295			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: CLASSIFICATION – Rule 62-213.300 FAC Facility type(s)/applicable standard as indicated on notification form: 1. Hard Chromium Plating a. Existing Large (0.015 mg/dscm) b. Existing Small (0.03 mg/dscm) Composite Composite			
(0.03 mg/dscm) using a rolling average of rectifier capacity (less than 60 million A-hr/year)			
2. <u>Decorative Chromium Plating/Anodizing</u>			
a. <u>Chromic Acid Bath</u>	 Emissions of ≤ 0.01/mg/dscm (4.4 Surface tension of ≤ 45 dynes/cm (May only be selected if a wetting 	$(3.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ lb-f/ft})$	
b. Trivalent Chromium Bath	 With wetting agent Without wetting agent ≤ 0.01mg/d 		
c. Chromium Anodizing	 Emissions of ≤ 0.01 mg/dscm (4.4 Surface tension of 45 dynes/cm (3 (May only be selected if a wetting 		

PART III: CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-213.300 FAC			
(Select control			
	DEVICE IN USE?		
Composite Mesh Pad Fiber Bed Mist Eliminator	Yes No		
 ☐ Fiber Bed Mist Eliminator ☐ Packed Bed Scrubber 	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No		
4. ☐ Packed Bed Scrubber/Composite Mesh Pad	Yes No		
5. Foam Blanket Fume Suppressant	Yes No		
6. Fume Suppressant w/ Wetting Agent	Yes No		
or	100		
Has the facility conducted an initial performance test to establish monitoring parameters? No Not required for sources using a wetting agent or 1-inch foam blanket thickness)			
PART IV: <u>RECORDKEEPING/REPORTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300	(3)		
Has the responsible official maintained the following records?			
1. Quarterly inspection records for add-on air pollution control devices and			
monitoring equipment. (applicable only to a facility using a packed bed scrubber	; fiber-bed		
mist eliminator, or composite mesh pad)	- ⊠Yes □No □N/A		
2. Operations and Maintenance Plan (OMP). (applicable only to a facility using a			
scrubber, fiber-bed mist eliminator, or composite mesh pad)	Yes No N/A		
3. Maintenance records for the source, add-on pollution control devices, and			
monitoring equipment (equipment identified, date performed, description).	- ⊠Yes □No		
4. Records of date of occurrence, duration, cause, and corrective action of each			
malfunction of process, add-on pollution control device, and monitoring equipmen			
5. Results of all performance tests6. Records of monitoring data. (not applicable to trivalent chromium baths using a second s			
agent)			
ugem,			
Composite Mesh Pad			
Measure the pressure drop across the CMP daily	- Yes No		
Packed Bed Scrubber			
Measure the pressure drop across the PBS and the inlet velocity daily	☐Yes ☐No		
Fiber-Bed Mist Eliminator Massure the pressure drop earses the EPME and the unstream device deily			
Measure the pressure drop across the FBME and the upstream device daily Packed Bed Scrubber/Composite Mesh Pad	☐Yes ☐No		
Measure the pressure drop across the CMP daily	⊠Yes □No		
Foam Blanket Fume Suppressant	MICS LINO		
Measure the foam blanket thickness at the appropriate interval	□Yes □No		
Fume Suppressant w/ Wetting Agent			
Measure the surface tension at the appropriate interval			
7. Purchase records of wetting agent components			
8. Records of the date and time that fume suppressants are added to the bath			
9. Records of rectifier capacity, if used to determine facility size	∐Yes ∐No ∐N/A		
10. Records of the total process operating time.			
11. Records identifying specific periods of excess emissions			
12. Startup, Shutdown & Malfunction Plan	- ∐Yes ∏No		

Jeff Morris	10/21/11
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	10/21/12
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: 10/21/11 – An annual inspection revealed the facility failed to renew its GP. The current GP expired on 7/22/11 with the application deadline 30 days prior on 6/22/11. The facility was provided with the FDEP link to download the GP/worksheet. Later in the afternoon, the RO notified me that he completed the form and sent the original to FDEP with a copy to AQD.

The facility is in violation of 40 CFR 63.540 (13), General Conditions for All General Permits. Specifically, a permittee's use of general permit is limited to five years.... The permittee shall give notice of continued use of a general permit thirty days prior before it expires.

A project record has been recorded in access to follow-up and verify GP renewal submittal.

Additionally, Ni-Chro Plating (dba M & P Plating, Inc) had shut down its hard chromium plating effective Tuesday (10/18/11). The hard chromium tank lining was splitting away from the steel containment. The unspent hexavalent chromium was deposited in sealed plastic 55 gallon drums that were put in secondary containment. The company expects to be operating in 3-6 weeks and will inform Air Quality prior to restart including a follow-up letter to this office.

A review of the facility's last record on 10/14/11 before shut down indicated the following:

P1 = 0.79" in water. p1 is the static pressure across the packed bed scrubber that is measured daily and is limited to +/-1" in water. The static pressure was established during the Method 306 stack test on June 11, 1998.

P2 = 0.31" in water. p2 is the velocity pressure across the packed bed scrubber limited to $\pm 10\%$ of 0.33" in water. The velocity pressure of 0.33" in water was established during the Method 306 stack test on June 11, 1998.

Total amp hours = 1,535 hrs.

A copy of the process data establishing the pressure limits from the Method 306 test is attached to the inspection report.